Prospects for a more robust, simpler and more efficient shader cross-compilation pipeline in Unity with SPIR-V
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- iOS / Android
- Puzzle game
- Multidisciplinary design agency

Unity benefits:
- Ease-of-use for the whole team
- Easy cross-platform production
- Custom editor scripts
- Unity Profiler
Build once deploy anywhere
Standalone player GPU stats

Top on 2014-12:
- Intel GMA HD: 9.6%
- Intel G45 (GMA X4500): 4.7%
- Intel HD 4000: 4.6%
- Intel HD 2000: 4.1%
- GeForce G210: 4.0%
- Intel 945 (GMA 950): 3.6%
- GeForce GT 650: 3.6%
- GeForce GT 630: 3.2%
- Radeon HD 3xxx (790 IGP): 2.8%
- GeForce GTX 750: 2.5%
- Others (click to show): 57.3%
  - GRID K520: 2.2%
  - GeForce GT 520: 2.1%
  - Intel HD 3000: 1.9%
  - Radeon HD 5400: 1.8%
  - GeForce GTS 450: 1.6%
  - GeForce 7000/7100: 1.5%
  - GeForce GTX 550: 1.5%
  - GeForce GTX 660: 1.4%
Graphic APIs

Platforms have different APIs with different shading language:
• OpenGL / OpenGL ES / WebGL (GLSL)
• Direct3D 9 / 11 / 12 (HLSL)
• Metal (Metal SL)
• Basically an API per consoles (Binary and things shaders)

No one wants to write their shaders even twice => cross compilation
Drivers fragmentation

April 2015:

- AMD expose OpenGL 4.4 support on all GPUs since Evergreen (2009)
- NVIDIA expose OpenGL 4.5 support on all GPUs since Fermi (2010)
- Intel expose OpenGL 4.3 support on Haswell (2013)
- Intel expose OpenGL 4.2 support but image load store on Ivy Bridge (2012)
- Intel expose OpenGL 3.1 support on Sandy Bridge (2011)
- Apple expose OpenGL 4.1 support for all
GLSL case of complexity

- With current GLSL:
  - 4 ES versions !
  - 8 core profile versions !!
  - 12 compatibility profile versions !!!

⇒ Cross compilation performance problems: Too many shaders variations, too many shader outputs
Performance issue

eg: Unity graphics tests:
- ~15 minutes to build Unity
- ~30 minutes to build the shaders
- ~2 minutes to run the tests

And we are building a strict minimum amount of shaders, a lot less shader variants that we would actually want.
Scale of the problem to solve

- Lot of different platforms
- Lot of different APIs including multiple versions per API
- Lot of hardware and drivers to support

=> Cross platform support is a very complex problem!
Shader cross compiling in Unity

Unity developers use:

• The metaHLSL language is cross compiled to all platforms
  1 language -> N platforms

• GLSL snippets: Only for [Open/Web]GL (ES) platforms
  Because cross compilation is too hard already!
Cross compilation approaches

- Macros: Wrap shader languages differences into macros
- Creating our own language with N back-ends (visual editor)
- Using a meta HLSL with source level translation
- Using a meta HLSL with IL level translation
Meta-HLSSL with IL level translation

Based on HLSSL IL using [HLSSLcc](#):

- Close source D3D11 compiler: Only Windows executable! %^*})&%
- Unspecified IL
- Perform excessive optimization losing information
- Legacy vec4 design: over allocation of GPU registers
- Bound to D3D11 features (gl_DrawID, pixel local storage, fb fetch, etc, all missing)
Unity 5.x shader pipeline

- D3D9: Cg compiler
- D3D11: HLSL11 compiler
- GL2/ES2: hlsl2glsl
- GL4/ES3: HLSL11 compiler + HLSLcc
- Metal: HLSL => GLSL => Metal
- Consoles: Their own things
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AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAh

Some slow compilers, some un-optimized compilers Unreliable compilers which accept invalid source
Example of a current shader pipeline
SPIR-V at the center of the pipeline

Parse HLSL → SPIR-V CFG → SPIR-V

Parse GLSL → SPIR-V CFG → PrintSPIR-V

Parse OpenCL C → SPIR-V CFG → Support SPIR-V

Parse ISPC → SPIR-V CFG → PrintSPIR-V

Parse Static C++ → SPIR-V CFG

SPIR-V → IHV Compiler → Binary

IHV Compiler → HLSL11 IL → PrintHLSL11 IL

IHV Compiler → HLSL9 IL → PrintHLSL9 IL

IHV Compiler → GLSL → Print GLSL

IHV Compiler → Metal → Print Metal
SPIR-V with a large adoption
Current SPIR-V limitations

- OpenCL 1.2 / 2.0 / 2.1 – OpenGL 4.5 profiles? What?!
- We need to be able to factorize shader variations.
  Eg: Create a SPIR-V module that works for both OpenGL 4.5 compute shader and OpenCL 1.2 kernel.
- We need some level of runtime decisions. SPIR-V preprocessor?
  Eg: Use code path A if an extension is supported or else code path B.
- We need to be able to build our own profile with a clear set of features to match the market reality at any time.
  OpenGL extensions mechanism is extremely powerful
Conclusions

- SPIR-V success will depend on platform vendors adoption
- SPIR-V needs to target all existing graphics APIs and beyond
- SPIR-V requires a strong tooling ecosystem (wink wink)
- SPIR-V has potential for shading languages innovations
- SPIR-V needs to become for languages what sRGB is to color
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